NAOJ future symposium 2021 Planetary system formation

What are the major issues in the science, and what approaches can be considered?

- This talk does not cover the chemical properties of disk material (evolution of gas and dust compositions, snowlines, water).
- Biased to observations. Theorists, please add comments.
- There should be some bias. Observers, please add comments.



Misato Fukagawa NAOJ, East Asia ALMA Regional Center

Long-standing, central question

How do planetary systems form and evolve? What brings about the diversity of planets and planetary systems? What is the critical factor in forming our Earth and Solar System?

Protoplanetary disk with gas and dust

ALMA Partnership et al. (2015)

• • •





• • •

Initial condition of planet formation and evolution = Protoplanetary disks are complicated, really.

Density, temperature, abundance are the function of r, z, Φ , and time

Henning & Semenov (2013)

Radial inward drift due to the gas drag

Dust coagulation, fragmentation, etc.

<u>Okuzumi (2014)</u>



Gas is supported by pressure, and rotates around the central star with a different velocity from solids



e.g., Klahr & Henning (1997), Pinilla et al. (2012)

Two major directions as always

Completing the census



Andrews et al. (2018)

Understanding the mechanisms

- Spatial distribution of:
 - gas and dust density
 - Temperature
 - Magnetic fields, gas viscosity

What are known for planet populations

- Planets are more common towards lower masses or smaller sizes (e.g., Suzuki et al. 2016)
- Giant planets (more massive than Neptune) orbit 10-20% of stars (e.g., Fernandes et al. 2019), occurrence rate peaks at 2—3 au
- Hot Jupiters are rare (<1 %) (e.g., Cumming et al. 2008)
- Occurrence of giant planets are positively correlated with the stellar mass (e.g., Johnson et al. 2010)
- Sub-Neptunes are more common around lowmass stars (e.g., Mulders 2015)
- Giant planet occurrence rate shows a correlation with the stellar metallicity (e.g., Fischer & Valenti 2005)

Occurrence rate (density of symbols)



Mulders (2018)

Giant planet formation

- Core accretion model planets are formed through "bottom up" process, producing ~10 Earth-mass solid core followed by the gas accretion within the lifetime of gas (~3 million years)
 - High surface density of solids is necessary (e.g., Ikoma et al. 2000)
 - Consistent with the correlation between the stellar metallicity and giant planet occurrence, but cannot explain the whole process including the efficient growth from dust grains to km-sized bodies theoretically
- Disk gravitational instability planets are formed in massive and cold protoplanetary disk
 - Inconsistent with the correlation between the stellar metallicity and giant planet occurrence (measured at short periods), but can explain the presence of some detected wide-orbit planets

Review by Mulders (2018)

TWO PLANET FORMATION SCENARIOS Accretion model

Gas-collapse model



Orbiting dust grains accrete into "planetesimals" through nongravitational forces.



Planetesimals grow, moving near-coplanar orbits, to form "planetary embryos."



Gas-giant planets accrete gas envelopes before disk gas disappears.



Gas-giant planets scatter or accrete remaining planetesimals and embryos.



A protoplanetary disk of gas and dust forms around a young star.



avitational disk instabilities form a clump of gas that becomes a self-gravitating planet.



Dust grains coagulate and sediment to the center of the protoplanet, forming a core.



The planet sweeps out a wide gap as it continues to feed on credit: NASA/ESA and A. Feild (STScI)

Formation of Neptune-mass planets

In situ formation

- Local surface density matters. (e.g., Kokubo & Ida 2002)
- Challenge: It is not clear if the inner regions of protoplanetary disks can contain enough mass that grow into planetary embryos (Schlichting 2014)

Planet migration

- Inward migration with the disk gas
- Challenge: t is not clear how an enhancement of the inner disk density by drift of solids that precedes the giant impact phase would impact the planet population.

Solids in Planetary Systems Average Solid Mass (M⊕) 10^{1} Trappist-1 10^{0} Protoplanetary Disks Kepler Survey Giant Planets (RV) 0.5 1.0 2.0 0.0 1.5 Star Mass (M_O)

Blue: <150 days planets with Kepler Red: RV planets, assuming 20 M_E solid per planet

Review by Mulders (2018)

Completing the census Linking matured exoplanets and protoplanetary disks

Planets around main-sequence (or older) stars

Migration?

 Dust density gaps are detected at >~10 au

 Plausible cause is planets opening the gaps

Putative planets just formed in disks

Attempts have started, but still very challenging

Zhang et al. (2018), Lodato et al. (2019), Andrews et al. (2018)

Completing the census Linking matured exoplanets and protoplanetary disks



Exoplanets: need to fill the regions that cannot be probed with the current generation instruments

- Are Solar-system like ones rare?
- How does it depend on the properties of the central stars?

Completing the census of exoplanets, including systems like our own Solar system

Microlensing to cover 1—10 au regions, Measurements to detect earth-mass planets, to widen the parameter space for the central star properties

Zhang et al. (2018)

Completing the census Linking matured exoplanets and protoplanetary disks



Zhang et al. (2018)

Completing the census Disk demographics

- Substructures are ubiquitous in large disks with the ages of million-years of ages, and possibly for younger stages, with some diversity.
- Current hypothesis
 - Giant planets open those gaps. (Kanagawa et al. 2015)
 - There are other hypothesis for ring formation. (e.g., Okuzumi et al. 2016, Takahashi & Inutsuka 2016)

Structured disks can keep the large mass for a long-time. Dust trapping by pressure bumps by GPs?

Resolution ~5 au, Andrews et al. (2018)

Completing the census Disk demographics

The majority can be smooth compact disks.

- Losing the outer region by the radial drift? Born with small disks?
- Some of the compact disks are optically thick at mm wavelengths.

Resolution ~16 au, Long et al. (2019)

Revealing structures in the compact disks

1-au resolution in submm/mm for optically thin disks1-au resolution at longer wavelength for optically thick disks

Completing the census Disk demographics

Scenario on the giant planet formation is being revealed in the outer regions?

van der Marel & Mulders (2021)



Completing the census Towards 1-au regions



"TW Hya" Uniquely nearby young star ALMA Gap structure with the size of the Earth's orbit

1 au resolution for hundreds of disks, to probe inner regions

1-au resolution in submm/mm for optically thin disks1-au resolution at longer wavelength for optically thick disks

e.g., Andrews et al. (2016), Tsukagoshi et al. (2016), credit: NAOJ, ALMA

Understanding the mechanisms Growth of solids

Small-scale enhancement, ~0.03 Earth-mass

Tsukagoshi et al. (2019)

1 au resolution for hundreds of disks

1-au resolution in submm/mm for optically thin disks or outer regions of all disks 1-au resolution at longer wavelength for optically thick disks

Understanding the mechanisms Growth of solids

Can we overcome the difficulty in core accretion-based models?

Full treatment from dust to planetary cores in a whole disk region is important.

Rapid formation of massive cores can be reproduced (Kobayashi and Tanaka 2021).



Kobayashi and Tanaka (2021)

Understanding the mechanisms Growth of solids

Growth efficiency needs to be investigated

- Particle sticking
- Most likely, dust grains are not compact spheres but in an aggregated form (e.g., Dominik & Tielens 1997)

Computational and experimental studies are important.



Understanding the mechanisms Growth of solids

- Grain size investigations in observations
 - Spectral index
 - Polarization (scattering) in optical, IR, and radio (e.g., Tazaki et al. 2017)
- Polarization data can be connected to the magnetic field

Polarization can be our advantage in science and technical development...?

Kataoka et al. (2015, 2017), Ohashi et al. (2019), Stephens et al. (2017)

Understanding the mechanisms Gas mass

- Grain growth and dynamics of solids are controlled by the surrounding gas.
- At each age, how much gas is available? This relates to the timescale of making solid cores and formation of gas giant planets.



- CO/H2 conversion is very uncertain.
- HD were detected for a very few (bright) disks so far.

Reliable measurements of H2 mass

Sensitive HD observations in far-infrared (ground state) at high spatial resolution

Understanding the mechanisms
Detailed gas kinematics

- Degree of turbulence, meridional flows, winds, accretion streams, spirals due to planets etc.
 - Spatial density structure, presence of gravitational perturbers, magnetic field (MRI) ...

<u>Teague et al. (2019)</u>

Precise measurements of gas kinematics

High sensitivity for gas lines

Understanding the mechanisms Growing protoplanets, satellite formation

Not so easy yet although we have started to see them.



Credit: ALMA (ESO/NAOJ/NRAO) A. Isella; ESO., Isella et al. (2019)

1.2 au in radius, ~0.031—0.007 Mearth (resolution~20 mas, 2.3 au)

Credit: ALMA (ESO/NAOJ/NRAO) Benisty et al.

Understanding the mechanisms Growing protoplanets, satellite formation



Asensio-Torres et al. (2021)

Pinte et al. (2018)

Expanding the age range: Younger stages

x6 improvement in angular resolution, better image quality



Millimeter emission from dust grains in the disk of "HL Tau"



resolution~0.15"=21 au Kwon et al. (2011) The 2014 ALMA Long Baseline Campaign: baseline up to 15.2 km, resolution ~25 mas (3.5 au) (ALMA Partnership et al. 2015)

Expanding the age range: Younger stages

Planet forming process may already start in the protostellar phase (<1 million years).

- High angular resolution study has started, including the on-going ALMA Large Program by the Japanese PI
- Younger disks are more optically thick

Getting density structure in protostellar disks

Imaging at longer wavelengths (cm)



Expanding the age range: More evolved stages

- Debris disks around main-sequence stars
 - Gas dispersal process
 - 2nd generation, cometary gas
 - They should reflect the history of the planetary-system evolution to some extent



High sensitivity in gas lines in submm/mm



Credit: ESO/A.-M. Lagrange et al.ALMA (ESO/NAOJ/NRAO) Dent et al.

What we want to obtain?	Which capability we want?	
Completing the census of exoplanets, including systems like our own Solar system	Microlensing to cover 1—10 au regions, Measurements to detect earth- mass planets, to widen the parameter space for the central star properties	
Probing the inner (<10 au) regions of protoplanetary disks Revealing structures in the compact disks 1 au resolution for hundreds of disks, to probe inner regions and wider radii	 1-au resolution in submm/mm for optically thin disks or outer regions of all disks 1-au resolution at longer wavelength for optically thick disks in submm/mm 	
Reliable measurements of H2 mass	Sensitive HD observations in far-infrared (ground state) at high spatial resolution	
Precise measurements of gas kinematics	High sensitivity for gas lines	
Understanding circumplanetary disks	Higher continuum sensitivity at high angular resolution Optical/NIR spectroscopy with high contrast to detect gas accretion onto protoplanets	
Detections of planets in disks	Higher contract optical/IR imaging Sensitive line detections with high velocity resolution	
Getting density structure in protostellar disks	Imaging at longer wavelengths (cm)	
Detections of leftover or 2nd generation gas	High sensitivity in gas lines in submm/mm	

- A wide range of wavelengths can be used
 - Exoplanet detections: very wide range of wavelengths including UV and perhaps X-ray
 - Planets in disks: Optical, IR, and radio
 - Disk observations: IR and radio
 - Stellar properties: X-ray to radio
- Major stream for disk observations would be, <u>higher angular resolution & higher line</u> sensitivity, with higher contrast in opt/IR and longer wavelengths in radio (personal opinion)

横軸◆星・惑星系形成・系外惑星

Ο レビューア	?ー:[天文学的アプローチ]、[惑星系形成]、[系外惑星](バッ	クアップ:)
コミュニティ	プロジェクト	(萌芽的テーマ
V懇	JVN超高分解能 (大質量形成時の爆発的質量降着が該当?)	将来:メートル波\ BIIこよる系外惑星の 究?
宇電懇	ALMA2(大型国際) ミリ波サブミリ波帯:高分解能・高感度(on-going) LST(大型国際)ミリ波サブミリ波帯:広域・高感度	
	ngVLA(大型国際)ミリ波センチ波帯(1.2- 116GHz):高分解能・高感度	
	SKA1(大型国際)センチ波メートル波帯(0.1- 25GHz):高視野・高感度 ATT10(中型)、サゴミリ波TH-波帯・広博・京感度(南極)	
地球刑武皇探	ATTO(平坐) - クラミウ波 Inz波帝・広域、高感度(南極) 本レップ	
地球空恐生体		
光赤天連	9はる ((大型) ~ TMT(大型) : すばる後継or連携?	
	MICHI (TMT用赤外線装置):中間赤外での直接検出の観点	
	HAbEx:近傍での探査・ハビタビリティ	
	JASMINE :時間軸位置天文の観点	
	ExoJASMINE:近赤外、晩期型星まわりでの探査、褐色矮 星気象	
	LAPYUTA: 杀外惑星形成過程	
系外或星一船	PSI:M型星まわりでの探査、星周円盤観測(帰発含む) D-探査として	
	LOTUS:近傍でのスノーライン以遠の惑星探査	
光赤天連		
	せいめい望遠鏡:間接検出-直接検出	
	星形成プロジェクト:近赤外分光による統計研究、主系列星進 化・年齢	
	Roman:系外惑星形成過程	
	MOA:系外惑星形成過程	
	PRIMEx:系外惑星形成過程	

Project list mixed for star and planet formation

Planets (in habitable zones) around M dwarfs

Planets in wider orbits, lower mass planets Subaru, OAO188, Seimei, JASMINE

Earth-like planets, and those around the Sun-like star

Planetary systems like our own... or not (covering the same parameters space) Roman, MOA, PRIMEx, LOTUS

1-au resolution, structure in a wide range of radiiCircumplanetary disksDisks around more M dwarfsALMA

Please see more details on the timeline for each project in Ikoma-san's slide

Census of young planets in disks TMT, TMT/PSI

TMT, TMT/MICHI,

TMT/PSI

Density structure and initial planet-mass function down to 1-au regions ngVLA

Examples

Roman





credit: Penny (2019)

van der Marel et al. (2019)

The challenges are the same. It would be better to consider that there is no boundary between astronomy and planetary science, in terms of observational strategy, research focus, etc. There may still be some differences between our languages.

Science and Dreams Roadmap 地球惑星科学分野の 科学・夢ロードマップ http://www.jpgu.org/2019road map/p/

Roadmap in the Earth and Planetary Science

探査・観測・分析・数値実験が切り拓く宇宙惑星科学

1. 宇宙惑星科学



The challenges are the same. It would be better to consider that there is no boundary between astronomy and planetary science, in terms of observational strategy, research focus, etc. There may still be some differences between our languages.

Science and Dreams Roadmap 地球惑星科学分野の 科学・夢ロードマップ http://www.jpgu.org/2019road map/p/



Notes

This talk does not consider the following points at all.

- Technical feasibility has a significant impact in the discussion of the implementation of plans.
- There is no correlation between the realization of a plan and the number of researchers who support it currently, as long as there are enough researchers who will be able to advance the science with the plan in the future, and there is a good team to manage the plan. A plan can evolve. A good plan will attract researchers and lead to a development of the field.
- We cannot do everything. What are the strengths of Japan so far? How do we build on Japan's strengths in science and in the technical development?